

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

GB

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/085,387	02/28/2002	Anthony D. Kurtz	Kulite-71	6637
28581 7:	590 02/25/2004		EXAMINER	
DUANE MOI		YUAN, DAH WEI D		
100 COLLEGE ROAD WEST, SUITE 100 PRINCETON, NJ 08540-6604	100	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
r Kinceron,	113 00540-0001		1745	
			DATE MAILED: 02/25/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	1	A	$-$ 1 $\Lambda_{\Lambda}$
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	10 oc
	10/085,387	KURTZ, ANTHONY D.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dah-Wei D. Yuan	1745	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	;
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replication of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e. cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communi  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.
Status		,	
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 J	anuary 2003.		
•	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		tters, prosecution as to the mer	its is
closed in accordance with the practice under			
Disposition of Claims			•
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.		
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-13 and 15-20</u> is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 14</u> is/are rejected.	•		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	cepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul><li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig</li><li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li></ul>		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documer</li> </ol>			
2. Certified copies of the priority documer			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri		n received in this National Stag	је
application from the International Bure			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies no	ot received.	
			•
Attachment(s)			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview	v Summary (PTO-413)	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper N	o(s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0: Paper No(s)/Mail Date	8) 5) Notice of 6) Other:	f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152	<b>.</b> )

Art Unit: 1745

# SOLID STATE FUEL CELL MADE FROM POROUS AND PARTIALLY POROUS SEMICONDUCTOR STRUCTURES

Examiner: Yuan

S.N. 10/085,387

Art Unit: 1745

February 16, 2004

### **Detailed Action**

- 1. The Applicant's amendment filed on January 16, 2004 was received. The title of the invention was changed. Claims 3,4 were amended.
- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S.C. code not included in this action can be found in the prior Office Action issued on October 14, 2003.

## Claim Objections

3. The claim objections on claim 4 are withdrawn, because claim 4 has been amended.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The claim rejections under 35 U.S.C.103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawatsu (US 5,885,727) and Mallari et al. (US 2003/0044674 A1) on claims 1,2,14 are maintained. The rejection is repeated below for convenience.

With respect to claim 1, Kawatsu teaches a fuel cell system comprising an electrolyte (11), an anode (12) and a cathode (13) functioning as gas diffusion electrode and arranged across the electrolyte to form a sandwich structure. Separators (14,15) are arranged across the sandwich structure and respectively connecting with the anode and the cathode to define flow paths of fuel

Art Unit: 1745

and oxidant. The planar electrolyte is composed of a silicon carbide matrix (a semiconductor structure) impregnated with electrolytic solution. See Figure 1; Column 11, Lines 50-67.

However, Kawatsu does not teach the use of semiconductor anode and cathode structures in the fuel cell system. Mallari et al. teach the use of electrode structure comprising a silicon (semiconductor) substrate with one or more discrete porous, bulk matrix regions. Metallic catalyst carried on the silicon electrode structures is also disclosed wherein the catalyst facilitates oxidation–reduction reactions of a fuel or an oxidant. The catalyst may be carried on the surface or face of a silicon substrate. The use of silicon electrode structure, when appropriately doped, can function as a current collector and provide the ability to be selectively sculpted, metallized and processed into complicated structure via semiconductor micro-fabrication techniques. See Paragraphs 15, 28, 38. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the semiconductor anode and cathode structures on the planar electrolyte structure of Kawatsu, because Mallari et al. teach the use of silicon electrode structure to enhance the performance of the resultant fuel cell system.

With respect to claim 2, Mallari et al. teach the electrode structure is surrounded by a non-porous peripheral structure of silicon as shown in Figures 38A, 38 B, 65A and 65B. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the semiconductor anode and cathode structures with a non-porous peripheral structure on the planar electrolyte structure of Kawatsu, because Mallari et al. teach the use of silicon electrode structure to enhance the performance of the resultant fuel cell system.

Art Unit: 1745

With respect to claim 14, Mallari et al. teach the porous silicon substrates is formed by a photoelectrochemical HF anodic etching technique wherein the pores would be invariably different in sizes. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the semiconductor anode and cathode structures with different pore diameters on the planar electrolyte structure of Kawatsu, because Mallari et al. teach the use of silicon electrode structure to enhance the performance of the resultant fuel cell system.

# Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 3-13,15-20 are allowed. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The invention of independent claim 3 recites a solid state fuel cell comprising a planar semiconductor anode structure having a plurality of pores, a planar semiconductor cathode structure having a plurality of pores, and an electrolyte planer semiconductor structure having a plurality of pores, wherein said electrolyte structure is fabricated from silicon. The closest prior art of record, Kawatsu and Mallari et al., do not teach or suggest the electrolyte structure is fabricated from silicon. The invention of independent claim 4 recites a solid state fuel cell comprising a planar semiconductor anode structure having a plurality of pores, a planar semiconductor cathode structure having a plurality of pores, and an electrolyte planer semiconductor structure having a plurality of pores, wherein said pores of said anode and cathode have an enlarged opening portion at said first surface tapering to a smaller opening at said second surface. The closest prior art of record, Kawatsu and Mallari et al., only teach the openings of even size at both surfaces of the electrodes.

Art Unit: 1745

# Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed on January 16, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's principle arguments are

Kawatsu reference does not disclose an electrolyte structure having a plurality of pores directed from a first surface to a second surface as required by claim 1.

In response to Applicant's arguments, please consider the following comments.

Kawatsu teaches the electrolyte (11) is composed of a silicon carbide matrix impregnated with concentrated liquid phosphoric acid. See Column 11, Lines 64-65. It is essential that electrolyte connects the anode structure and cathode structure in a fuel cell to facilitate the transport of ionic species. Therefore, the porous silicon carbide material of Kawatsu must have through-thickness pores such that the electrolytic solution (phosphoric acid) can bridge the anode and the cathode in a fuel cell.

#### Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Art Unit: 1745

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dah-Wei D. Yuan whose telephone number is (571) 272-1295. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:00-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick J. Ryan, can be reached on (571) 272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dah-Wei D. Yuan February 16, 2004 Dave J